

The book was found

Civil Procedure (Quickstudy: Law)

QuickStudy® **AMERICAN'S #1 LEGAL REFERENCE CHART**
Consolidated Material

CIVIL PROCEDURE

JURISDICTION

SUBJECT MATTER

CHARTERED POWER OF THE COURT AND THE TYPE OF CASE BEFORE IT

FEDERAL QUESTION (28 USC 1332)

BASIS

1. A Federal law creates the cause of action
2. Plaintiff's right to relief depends on the resolution of a substantial question of Federal law

EXAMPLES

1. Federal Statutes
2. Cause of action arises under within system of statute the subject-matter jurisdiction to apply (Brennan v. Galt)
3. Admiralty cases
4. Jurisdiction over state licensing fees received from independent licensees (Elliott v. Galt)
5. Interstate Commerce, Copyrights and Patents
6. Construction of patent, including terms of an estate, claim, to which court's exclusive power (Brennan v. Galt)
7. Cause where the U.S. is a party
8. Controversy between U.S. citizens and foreigners

CAUTION

1. The amount in controversy does not matter if there is a Federal question involved

DIVERSITY (28 USC 1332)

SCOPE

1. Controversy is between citizens of different States, or between citizens of a State and citizens or subjects of a foreign State and the action is for more than \$75,000
2. Action for contract in marriage wrongful death statute and covered under Federal statute (Brennan v. Galt)
3. Jurisdiction over state licensing fees received from independent licensees (Elliott v. Galt)
4. When diversity jurisdiction is used - Federal courts defer to state courts based on difficulty of questions of State law presented by the relevant litigation on State court
5. When diversity is obtained from improper or collusive joinder of parties
6. When parties have the same part of the suit
7. When diversity jurisdiction is not part of the suit

COMPLEX DIVERSITY

1. No one Plaintiff can be a citizen of the same State as any one Defendant
2. Diversity disappears (diversity is lost) (a) The plaintiff you are previously found to be (b) Is the same as someone else and (c) Diversity must exist at the time the Complaint is filed with the Clerk
3. It need not exist at the time of trial or when the cause of action arose
4. Complete diversity must be met at the time judgment is entered (See *Phillips v. Lee*)
5. **Exemption of Corporations**
6. The State of incorporation (a) The State where principal place of business is located
7. **Exemption of Citizens**
8. The United States is the person whose case is to be considered
9. **U.S. Citizens Living abroad**
10. Two citizens of any State, therefore, cannot sue their own jurisdiction

visit us at **quickstudy.com**
Customer Hotline 1-800-333-3033
The website gives you everything you need to know, understand and succeed your assignments.

NOTICE: This book is not intended to be used as a substitute for legal advice. It is intended to be used as a reference only. For more information, please contact your attorney or the publisher.

SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION

[28 USC 1367]

1. Defendant with counter-claim, cross-claim or third-party claim may bring them in Federal court as long as Federal court has jurisdiction over original claim
2. Plaintiff's claims by defendant parties who would otherwise have their right to claim or be required to sue their claims in Federal court
3. Tolling provision (DIPRA) does not apply to claims filed in Federal court against noncontroversial states (Rogers v. Rogers, 415 F.2d 101)
4. Applies to diversity and Federal question claims
5. Applies to:
6. Compulsory Counterclaims (FRCP 13(a))
7. Joinder of Additional Parties to Counterclaims (FRCP 13(b))
8. Cross-claims (FRCP 13(c))
9. Interpleader (FRCP 22, 28 USC 1335)
10. Does not apply to:
11. Permissive Counterclaims (FRCP 13(b))
12. Joinder of Third Parties (FRCP 14)
13. Joinder of Claims (FRCP 18)
14. Joinder of Parties Needed for Just Adjudication (FRCP 19)
15. Joinder of Parties (FRCP 20)
16. Intervention of right (FRCP 24)
17. New actions in which a Federal judgment creditor seeks to enforce liability for a money judgment on a person not otherwise liable for the judgment (Patterson v. Shuman)

Does not affect jurisdiction over parties requirement

PERMISSORY CLAIM JURISDICTION

Plaintiff with valid Federal question claims may bring along a state-based claim in Federal court

1. Must derive from common nucleus of operative fact
2. Up to court's discretion whether to hear parallel claims based on considerations of judicial economy, convenience and fairness to litigants

PERMISSORY PARTY JURISDICTION

Plaintiff with valid Federal claim against one defendant may bring state-based claim against another defendant over whom no independent basis of Federal jurisdiction exists

1. Must derive from common nucleus of operative fact
2. Does not apply to diversity jurisdiction

REMOVAL FROM STATE TO FEDERAL COURT

BASIS FOR REMOVAL

1. If Federal courts would have had original jurisdiction
2. Federal question jurisdiction existed at time action was filed
3. No Federal Court jurisdiction when complaint does not state Federal question (see *Chen v. United States*)
4. Federal courts remove cases of action (Brennan v. Galt, *United States v. United States*)
5. Diversity jurisdiction existed at time action was filed
6. Issue of personal jurisdiction procedure subject matter jurisdiction (Brennan v. Galt, *United States v. United States*)
7. Time to remove is triggered by formal service of process, not by informal receipt of complaint (Brennan v. Galt, *United States v. United States*)

EXEMPTION AT DEFENDANT'S OPTION

1. Does not apply to Plaintiff defending uncontested claim
2. Claims that depend on state court should be dismissed because of prior Federal ruling is not basis for removal under FRCP 12 (Brennan v. Galt, *United States v. United States*)

MULTIPLE CLAIMS

1. If a cause of action which can be removed is joined with a cause of action that has no independent claim to Federal jurisdiction, then the entire case can be removed to Federal court together

CASES WHERE ARE NOT REMOVABLE

1. In suits under FELA Act, Jones Act, Violence Against Women Act, and State anti-bullying compensation laws (28 USC 1445)

OTHER BASIS

1. Cannot sue "artificial plaintiff" alleging the existence or lack of Federal question
2. Cases go to the "district court of the U.S. for the district and division embracing the place where the State is located" (Brennan v. Galt, *United States v. United States*)

PERSONAL

POWER TO BRING PARTIES BEFORE COURT AND HOW TO DO IT

INTRODUCTION

DEFINITION OF PERSON

1. Court has power to act upon the person or property
2. Court has power to act upon the person or property
3. Court has power to act upon the person or property
4. Court has power to act upon the person or property
5. Court has power to act upon the person or property
6. Court has power to act upon the person or property
7. Court has power to act upon the person or property
8. Court has power to act upon the person or property
9. Court has power to act upon the person or property
10. Court has power to act upon the person or property

THREE TYPES OF JURISDICTION

1. **In Personam**
2. **In Rem**
3. **Quasi In Rem**

TRADITIONAL TEST (PENNINO V. NEW)

PRESENCE IN FORUM STATE

1. Defendant's presence in forum state is necessary to maintain suit
2. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
3. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
4. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
5. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
6. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
7. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
8. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
9. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
10. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial

MODERN BASIS

DEFINITION OF PERSON

1. Defendant's presence in forum state is necessary to maintain suit
2. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
3. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
4. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
5. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
6. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
7. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
8. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
9. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial
10. Defendant must be present in forum state at the time of trial

continued on next page



Synopsis

Civil law is extending into areas undreamt of just a few years ago, and our study guide helps you stay informed. Â

Book Information

Series: Quickstudy: Law

Pamphlet: 4 pages

Publisher: QuickStudy; Lam Crds edition (November 21, 2005)

Language: English

ISBN-10: 157222956X

ISBN-13: 978-1572229563

Product Dimensions: 8.5 x 11 x 0.1 inches

Shipping Weight: 0.3 ounces (View shipping rates and policies)

Average Customer Review: 4.7 out of 5 starsÂ Â See all reviewsÂ (39 customer reviews)

Best Sellers Rank: #5,426 in Books (See Top 100 in Books) #3 inÂ Books > Law > Rules &

Procedures > Civil Procedure #1660 inÂ Books > Reference

Customer Reviews

well, the idea is great. Having something so clearly written out and easy to read is awesome. My only problem is that it is outdated, therefore some of the information is outdated and incorrect, which is a big issue.

What BarCharts are NOT: a comprehensive study guide. You cannot do well on a law school exam or bar exam relying solely upon BarCharts. That is not their purpose. What BarCharts ARE: a condensed overview of the black letter law. Use them as a quick reference to understand the material during the semester. Use them as a REVIEW of the material you (should) have already studied for the exam. In law school, BarCharts were the last thing I skimmed over before taking an exam. It's a good refresher. Same with the bar exam. I skimmed over my BarCharts both nights before the exam.

I love these... I am a list person. Love top 10's and all that kind of stuff, so these fit the bill. Breaks down several areas with basic/top info to know. Nice quick review in sturdy lamination.

I bought two huge supplemental textbooks, won a supplement via a Lexis raffle, and hauled around

two of the commercial outlines that Bar prep programs give away. Ultimately, it came down to this bad boy. Because of this product, my highest exam grade was in Civ Pro. Trust me, I thought I was on my way to getting a D in that course. Definitely buy!

We own a series of Quick Study guides. These guides are clearly not a substitute for 500-page study books, but are generally very useful quick references. We routinely consult the Quick Study guides when we need to write something with a legal slant, on a scientific topic, or merely a flawlessly punctuated document. This is a generic review, as one could always argue what each Quick Study guide should contain or shouldn't contain. As a general, basic reference, the sturdy guides are excellent, well-organized, and concise.

What these laminates do better than nearly any other resource is to give you a general overview and guide so you can understand where the material is going. Similar to the artist's technique where you have to create a vague rough sketch to understand proportion and relevance, these allow you to understand the significance of minutia and detail in the bigger schematic. Also great refresher if you are return after a long time away and your own notes don't make sense anymore. The Bad: These cannot replace the actual text or class material, though of the entire series Constitutional Law, Civil Procedure and Criminal Procedure come closest. Also, some of the case references are outdated. In particular, they do not have the 2007 amendments to FRCP which have been in effect for some time now. The Ugly: For those gunners out there, the laminate surface also makes these perfect to buy a second set to keep in the car for those "first thing in the morning" study sessions.

go and simple easy to follow and understand. a great companion to have in your brief case at all times. Excellent for a Pro Se

SUPER easy and convenient chart. When I was studying for the Civ Pro final, this chart really brought things together and put it in a format that was easy to study. I would recommend it for anyone taking Civil Procedure, or studying for the bar.

[Download to continue reading...](#)

Glannon Guide To Civil Procedure: Learning Civil Procedure Through Multiple-Choice Questions and Analysis, Third Edition Civil Procedure (Quickstudy: Law) Legal Terminology And Phrases: Essential Legal Terms Explained You Need To Know About Civil Law And Civil Procedure 2016 Federal Civil Rules Booklet (For Use With All Civil Procedure and Evidence Casebooks) Federal

Civil Rules Supplement: 2016-2017, For Use with All Civil Procedure Casebooks (Selected Statutes) 2015 Federal Civil Rules Booklet (For Use With All Civil Procedure and Evidence Casebooks) 2014 Federal Civil Rules Booklet (For Use With All Civil Procedure Casebooks) 2012 Federal Civil Rules Booklet (For Use With All Civil Procedure Casebooks) Law in a Flash Cards: Civil Procedure Part I Emanuel Law Outlines: Civil Procedure Emanuel Law Outlines: Civil Procedure, Keyed to Yeazell Civil Procedure Stories (Law Stories) Intellectual Property Law (Quickstudy: Law) Mastering Multiple Choice for Federal Civil Procedure MBE Bar Prep and 1L Exam Pre (Career Guides) Mastering Multiple Choice for Federal Civil Procedure MBE Bar Prep and 1L Exam Prep (Career Guides) Federal Civil Procedure Complete (All The Rules) Casenote Legal Briefs: Civil Procedure, Keyed to Friedenthal, Miller, Sexton, and Hershkoff, Eleventh Edition Casenotes Legal Briefs: Civil Procedure, Keyed to Subrin, Minow, Brodin, & Main, Fourth Edition (Casenote Legal Briefs) CrunchTime: Civil Procedure (Emanuel Crunchtime) Civil Procedure, 7th Edition (Examples & Explanations)

[Dmca](#)